

**MAIL-IN SURVEY – WRITTEN COMMENTS
(through 07/31/02)**

Comments on two high schools

C only

Only C – there is community consensus

C – probably

I want two schools!

Well, it's a miracle I found this teeny survey. You told us when you built VSW it was going to be a second high school. Why does it have to be re-decided now? What part of TWO HIGH SCHOOLS don't you understand?

Most definitely sense need for smaller schools; less emphasis on athletics.

In favor of two school options!

Please build a second high school for our children. I went to Valley and always thought there would be two high schools by the time my kids went to high school. I don't care about the "powerhouse" or division of WDM. I care about my children's education and would prefer a smaller class size. Thanks!

We need and can support two schools! Thank you.

Comments on one high school

Very opposed to two high schools

Don't fix what isn't broken.

Valley and Valley Southwoods are outstanding schools in an outstanding district! Thank you for all your hard work and dedication.

I would vote for Option B IF you omit Walnut Creek facility and change the new auditorium size/cost -- \$8.6 million is ridiculous! NO SECOND HIGH SCHOOL!

One high school is all WDM needs!

B only – others no.

I support either Option A or B; none of the other options.

I would only support A or B as options. Need to maintain Valley as only high school and not split this great community.

This is the consensus of both adults at this address, so the results could be two responses to the four questions. (Two votes recorded for Option B and all others no)

We built a 9th grade school after a lot of study. We might as well support the decision. As long as we will be a “big school” community let’s make it the biggest and most beautiful we can. It needs to last for decades to come!

Comments on no referendum

My goodness! This is getting to be a “sticky wicket.” I agree with Mr. Dorr to let the administrators and teachers advise us as to what’s best. Personally I feel “A” is best now. Thank you. Go with “A” please. Our two Valley graduates are now enrolled in Iowa City and Cedar Falls universities and doing very well! We like Valley as it is. (from “The Momma” Hi Vicky Poole. Thank you!)

No bond issue at all!

Listen to the kids and staff – one high school. Add \$ for furnishings to Option A. (All questions answered “no”)

Option A is only good option. Do not need two high schools. Let those parents who want second high school pay for it.

My opinion is to stay with the original plan to remodel VHS using only sales tax funds. I will vote no for any bond referendum.

Comments on survey process/mechanics

Do not understand question 4. Have already indicated in 1, 2 & 3 that I would support a referendum for B only. I have already indicated support for Option B with referendum and I will not support a referendum for C or D.

The survey should be easier to find on the web site. I spent 10 minutes looking for it and could not find it on 7/16/02.

Please count both husband and wife. (two votes recorded)

Please count two votes (two votes recorded)

Don’t know (question 4)

I’m mailing a copy of this because I’d like to keep the original Choices for the Future to refer back to.

Miscellaneous comments

Why are you afraid of a referendum?

Why not E? Per the Design Down Committee this non-referendum scenario is feasible.

Why not E? (Question 4 -- only C)

Valley High School is the least caring environment I can imagine. The issue isn't one building or two. The issue is how to make Valley's administration care about all the kids – not just the superstars.

If the parents are going to allow students to drive and the school is not willing to place restrictions on driving, then adequate parking must also be a priority. (Question 4 -- B only)

The parking issue needs to be resolved no matter what scenario is approved.